

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,840	07/18/2003	Scott Brady Rothgeb	13346.0015.NPUS01	4752
23369	7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
	IMON ARNOLD & V	A, MINH D		
	750 BERING DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77057		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4 n

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/622,840	ROTHGEB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh D A	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2003.						
<i>,</i>	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 7 and 9 is/are objected to.	- de alie a se es income					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/03. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Leighton (US 6,036,099).

Regarding claim 1, Leighton discloses an antenna, comprising: a concealment panel (smart cards), comprising a foam core (33) having a low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet (PVC of elements 30,32) disposed on at least one surface of the foam core (33). See figures 4-8, col.5, lines 13-67 to col.8, lines 1-41.

Regarding claim 3, Leighton discloses wherein the foam core (33) comprises polystyrene. See col.5, lines 13-35.

Regarding claim 4, Leighton discloses the foam core (33) has first and second sides, and wherein a first low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet(30) is disposed on the first side and a second low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet (32) is disposed on the second side. See figure 4.

Regarding claim 5, Leighton discloses the form core (33) forms a tongue portion along one edge of the card, and wherein the first and second low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheets form a groove portion along another edge of the panel. See figures 4-8.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 6, Leighton discloses wherein the low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet (30) is attached on the at least one surface of the foam core (33). See figure 4.

Regarding claim 8, Leighton discloses wherein the low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet has a dielectric constant equal. See figure 4.

Regarding claim 10, Leighton discloses an antenna, comprising: a plurality of concealment panels (smart cards) having at least partially composed of an expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam having a dielectric constant equal. See figures 4-8, col.5, lines 13-67 to col.8, lines 1-41.

Regarding claim 12, Leighton discloses wherein the (smart cards) panels comprise a first sheet (30) of expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam. See figure 4.

Regarding claim 13, Leighton discloses wherein the panels (smart cards) comprise a foam core (33) disposed on a side of the first sheet (30) of expanded polyvinyl-chloride foam. See figure 4-8.

Regarding claim 14, Leighton discloses wherein the foam core comprises polystyrene. See col.5, lines 13-35

Regarding claim 15, Leighton discloses wherein the panels further comprise a second sheet (32) of expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam disposed on side of form core opposing the first sheet. See figure 4.

Regarding claim 16, Leighton discloses wherein the foam core(33) is attached to the first sheet of expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam by a tape. See figures 4-8.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 17, Leighton discloses wherein the concealment panels (smart cards) define curved surface by vacuum forming a substantially flat sheet. See figures 4-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Leighton (US 6,036,099).

Regarding claims 2, 11 and 18, Leighton essentially discloses the claimed invention but does not explicitly disclose that interlocking and wherein the panels with the curved surfaces have a smaller thickness at edges of the panels than at a center of the panels. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to employ Leighton in any desired such as interlocking and a smaller thickness at edges of the panels than at a center of the panels in order to maximize the usage of his invention, since applicant does not disclose that, all of these limitations can solve any stated problem and for any particular purpose. Therefore, it appears that the invention would not provide any improvement but merely apply the invention in different presentation.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,840 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does no teach that, wherein the low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet has a thickness of approximately 4 to 10 mm, and wherein the foam core has a thickness of approximately 2-inches or urethane forming a layer between the low-dielectric constant expanded poly-vinyl-chloride foam sheet and the foam core and having a thickness of approximately 3 to 10-mils in dependent claims 7 and 9.

6. Claims 19-26 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art does not teach that, a plurality of concealment panels; a mounting ring connecting to the flange; means for mechanically connecting the concealment panels on the mounting ring; an adapter coupling to the mounting ring and flange and having a mounting plate, the mounting plate extending beyond the flange and defining a first opening; a cap coupling to the mounting plate and defining a second opening; a truck including a shaft and an arm, the shaft installing in the second opening of the cap and coupling to the first opening of the adapter, the arm rotatable about the shaft in combination with all limitations recited in independent claim 19.

Art Unit: 2821

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

and having the rope for the flag connected thereto.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kim et al (US 6,144,344) and Dilley et al. (US 4,914,450) are cited to show an antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (571) 272-1817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30 –2:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1553.

Examiner

Minh A

Art unit 2821

10/17/04

Don Wong
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800